party. So if it should appear in some future—from whatever the source—that you always provided security for Dr. King, that would also be erroneous, would it not?

Mr. REDDITT. Right.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Now, if the witness would like to review the second three pages on Martin Luther King F-184.

[Brief pause in proceedings as witness reviews said pages.]

Mr. SMOTHERS. Mr. Congressman, we are ready.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Mr. Redditt, I would like to draw your attention to lines 13 through 17 and 19 through 23 on the first page of the exhibit. In essence what you stated was that there could have been another security guard at the airport but that you might not have seen him, is that not paraphrasing your remarks pretty accurately? So you really only remember walking down the hallway with Richmond, but you state quite clearly that it is a possibility they could have been in another exit, been up front, been elsewhere else?

Mr. REDDITT. That's correct.

Mr. MCKINNEY. So that there may have been other security at the airport?

Mr. REDDITT. Correct.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Mr. Redditt, I refer you to page 2, line 11, through 3, line 3, which was your closing statement. You may either read this into the record, or you may paraphrase it for me in any way, whichever you would like to do.

Mr. SMOTHERS. Is that a question or a request that the witness read from the previous statement?

Mr. MCKINNEY. It is a request that he either paraphrase his remarks or that he read those remarks.

Mr. SMOTHERS. Beginning at which line, please?

Mr. MCKINNEY. Line 11, through line 3 on page 3.

Mr. REDDITT. I'll read it:

> Again, I want to apologize or whatever for again maybe my misinterpretations or my stating and causing people to have another connotation of whatever, how do you withdraw this or how do you rectify this. I can think of several ways to do it, whether there is permission granted or not, but most of all is that if I have—and I have said it earlier—misled someone with the statements of security surveillance or surveillance security and persons interpreting it like they want to interpret or putting it the way they want to put it, it is very difficult, even in an explanation of that nature, that is explaining 100 feet away, and as Mr. Fithian has put it, there is no way that you can offer that security. It can only still be observation and surveillance.
>
> So those persons who are much smarter than I, who can write books overnight can take most anything out and put it like they want it, turn your words around or cause you to turn your words around how they want it, something I want to apologize.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Detective Redditt, you appeared on television with various authors; is that correct?

Mr. REDDITT. Yes, sir.

Mr. MCKINNEY. You have also been interviewed in connection with their books; is that correct?

Mr. REDDITT. Not interviewed for their books; no, sir.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Have you been interviewed by author Mark Lane?

Mr. REDDITT. It was in conversation and that he was in the room with me while I talked to Abby Mann who was to do the picture; and in that conversation, he asked permission, was it OK to start a

tape. And in conversation, whi
conversation made accusations,
forth; of this nature.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Have you rea

Mr. REDDITT. No, sir.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Will the clerl
Code Name "Zorro", marked MI

Chairman STOKES. Without ol
point.

[A copy of Mr. Lane's book, (
the committee's files.]

Mr. MCKINNEY. It might be i
know that in this book, your nar
I won't even bother to put them
sive, but I would ask that this b
put in the record, also.

Chairman STOKES. Without obj

[The information follows:]

HSCA

e—from whatever the
r Dr. King, that would

ld like to review the
184.
ws said pages.]
ady.
to draw your attention
the first page of the
there could have been
at you might not have
rks pretty accurately?
he hallway with Rich-
possibility they could
been elsewhere else?

been other security at

1 to page 2, line 11,
statement. You may
araphrase it for me in

quest that the witness

either paraphrase his

ase?
page 3.

e my misinterpretations or
on of whatever, how do you
of several ways to do it,
all is that if I have—and I
of security surveillance or
they want to interpret or
even in an explanation of
Fithian has put it, there is
still be observation and

can write books overnight
turn your words around or
it, something I want to

peared on television

viewed in connection

s; no, sir.
ved by author Mark

t he was in the room
vas to do the picture;
, was it OK to start a

tape. And in conversation, which to me is not communication, in conversation made accusations, or questions and answers and so forth; of this nature.

Mr. McKINNEY. Have you read Mr. Lane's book?

Mr. REDDITT. No, sir.

Mr. McKINNEY. Will the clerk please give the witness a copy of Code Name "Zorro", marked MLK exhibit F-185.

Chairman STOKES. Without objection it may be entered at this point

[A copy of Mr Lane's book, Code Name "Zorro", is retained in the committee's files.]

Mr. McKINNEY. It might be interesting for you, Mr. Redditt, to know that in this book, your name is mentioned on so many pages, I won't even bother to put them into the record. The list is extensive, but I would ask that this be given a number, F-185A, and be put in the record, also.

Chairman STOKES. Without objection, so ordered.

[The information follows:]